

REMARKS

Receipt of the Office Action of February 9, 2006 is gratefully acknowledged.

Claims 6 - 8 and 11 have been re-examined and rejected as indefinite only. The examiner's comments regarding these claims have been carefully considered. In reply thereto, claims 6 and 11 have been amended. When the amendments to claims 6 and 11 are considered in conjunction with the annotated drawings of Figs. 3 and 8 submitted with the September 26, 2005, the structural identity of the parts recited and their relationship should be clear. For example, in Claims 6 and 11, that the front and rear members together define support faces. This can be seen in the noted figures, as can the relationship of these faces with the stationary edges and the relationship of the stationary edges with the movable edges.


If the examiner has any further questions regarding the amended claims when viewed in light of the noted figures, the undersigned would be pleased to discuss the matter in greater detail either in a telephone interview or a personal interview at the USPTO. For convenience, a further copy of the annotated Figures is enclosed.

The amendments to the claims cannot, it is respectfully submitted, introduce any new issues or require any new searching in this application since not new structure is being introduced. These amendments merely clarify existingly defined structure.

A new abstract is being submitted herewith in accordance with the examiner's request.

This application should now be in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,



Felix J. D'Ambrosio
Registration No: 25,721

Date: May 9, 2006

BACON & THOMAS
625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor
Alexandria, Virginia 22314




Fig. 8
support face

